

Assessing Heterosis for Grain Yield and Related Traits in Six-Row Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under Varying Sowing Conditions

Anil Kulheri^{1*}, SS Rajput², SS Punia², Kiran Jakhar³ and Sunita Choudhary⁴

¹Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, SKNCOA, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur-303328, Rajasthan, India

²Division of Plant Breeding and Genetics, RARI, Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

³Department of Biochemistry, Central University of Rajasthan, Bandar Sindri, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India

⁴Govt. Shakambhar P.G. College, Sambharlake, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Article history:

Received: 09 Sep., 2025

Revised: 20 Nov., 2025

Accepted: 02 Dec., 2025

Citation:

Kulheri A, SS Rajput, SS Punia, K Jakhar and S Choudhary. 2025. Assessing heterosis for grain yield and related traits in six-row barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under varying sowing conditions. *Journal of Cereal Research* 17 (3): 351-358. <http://doi.org/10.25174/2582-2675/2025/171600>

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: jai04051997@gmail.com

© Society for Advancement of Wheat and Barley Research

Abstract:

The present study was conducted to assess the magnitude of heterosis for grain yield and its component traits in six row barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) using a modified Triple Test Cross analysis with fifteen lines and three testers (RD 2508, RD 3005, and their F₁ hybrid RD 2508 × RD 3005). A total of 63 barley genotypes, including 15 lines, 3 testers, and 45 F₁ crosses, were evaluated during the 2022–23 Rabi season under three sowing environments (early, normal, and late) using a randomized block design with three replications. Pooled ANOVA showed significant genotypic differences across all traits studied. Across all environments, the crosses BG 105 × RD 2508, DWRUB 64 × RD 2508, PL 751 × RD 2508, RD 2035 × RD 2508, RD 2552 × RD 2508, BH 959 × RD 3005, HUB 113 × RD 3005, JB 110 × RD 3005, RD 2552 × RD 3005, RD 2907 × RD 3005, BG 105 × F₁, DWRUB 64 × F₁, DWRB 137 × F₁, RD 2035 × F₁, RD 2552 × F₁, and RD 2660 × F₁ exhibited significant heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant in all three environments. These crosses can therefore be considered promising for enhancing barley yield under early, normal, and late sowing conditions.

Keyword: Barley, Heterosis, Heterobeltiosis, Grain yield

1. Introduction

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) was first domesticated approximately 10,000 years ago from its wild progenitor, *Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*, in the Fertile Crescent of the Middle East (Potla *et al.*, 2013). It belongs to genus *Hordeum*, within the Triticeae tribe of the Poaceae family. The genus *Hordeum* includes approximately 32 species, all characterized by a basic chromosome number of $x = 7$, whereas the Poaceae family as a whole comprises around 350 species. After maize, rice, and wheat, barley is the fourth most significant food crop in the world (FAO, 2020; Shabrangy *et al.*, 2021). Barley is a winter cereal crop that reproduces sexually through self-pollination and cleistogamy, and is extensively cultivated globally. Barley serves primarily as a source of animal feed, a key

ingredient in malting for beer production, and a staple food grain in many regions worldwide. Barley grains consist primarily of carbohydrates (78%), with notable proportions of protein (10%), crude fiber (5%), -glucan (3.9%), calcium (2%), and a small amount of fat (1%) (Shaveta *et al.*, 2019). Due to its low input requirements, capacity to adapt to a variety of environmental situations, and resistance to abiotic stresses such as drought, salt, alkalinity, and poor soil quality, barley is highly valuable. These attributes contribute to its widespread cultivation, making it one of the most extensively grown cereal crops across both temperate and tropical regions worldwide (Yadav *et al.*, 2021).



The examination of heterosis assists plant breeders in early generation selection, enabling the elimination of less productive crosses (Lal *et al.*, 2018a). The magnitude of heterosis gives information on extent of genetic diversity in the parents of cross and aids in selecting superior parents for crosses to exploit hybrid vigor. Heterosis refers to allelic or non-allelic interaction of genes influenced by specific environments. Barley is self-pollinated crop and there is currently no suitable method for producing hybrid seeds on a commercial scale. Therefore, the heterosis *per se* may not be of economic value in this crop at present. However, understanding the degree and magnitude of heterosis is essential for choosing the most ideal crosses to produce good segregants in future generations and for determining the outcome of future breeding programs to further improve barley grain yield.

2. Materials and Methods

The experimental materials of the current investigation comprised 17 genetically diverse genotypes and one F₁ hybrid of 6-row feed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), procured from the All India Coordinated Wheat and Barley Improvement Project (AICW&BIP) at the RARI, Durgapura. The experiment was carried out at Sri Karan Narendra College of Agriculture's Instructional Farm in Jobner, Rajasthan, during the *Rabi* seasons of 2021–22 and 2022–23. Crosses were carried out using the modified Triple Test Cross (TTC) design proposed by Ketata *et al.* (1976), employing two genetically diverse 6-row feed barley genotypes, RD 2508 and RD 3005, along with their F₁ hybrid (RD 2508 × RD 3005), which were designated as testers T₁, T₂, and T₃, respectively. During the *Rabi* season of 2021–22, a crossing block was established where the three testers (T₁, T₂, and T₃) served as male parents and were crossed with 15 genetically diverse 6-row feed barley genotypes (designated L₁ to L₁₅), which acted as female parents, resulting in the development of 45 crosses, including 30 single crosses and 15 three-way crosses. The current experimental material, consisting of 63 genotypes including three testers, fifteen lines, and forty-five F₁ crosses was assessed during the *Rabi* season of 2022–2023 using a randomized block design (RBD) with three replications under three different sowing environments: early (E₁), normal (E₂), and late (E₃). Each genotype was allotted two rows, each

2.5 meters in length with row spacing of 30 cm, while a uniform plant-to-plant distance of 10 cm was maintained across all environments. Observations were recorded for fourteen traits, namely: days to 75% heading, days to maturity, plant height (cm), effective tillers per plant, grains per spike, spike length (cm), 1000-grain weight (g), grain yield per plant (g), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), protein content (%), starch content (%), proline content (µg/100 mg fresh weight), and chlorophyll content (SPAD). Data for all fourteen traits were collected from ten randomly selected plants in individual plot of the F₁ progenies and parental lines across all replications and environments, except for days to 75% heading, days to maturity, and 1000-grain weight, which were recorded on a plot basis.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for all traits in each environment was performed according to the methodology described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). Heterosis (H%) and heterobeltiosis (HB%) were calculated as the deviation of the F₁ hybrid value from the mid-parent and better-parent values, following the methods described by Shull (1914) and Fonseca and Patterson (1968), respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

Significant differences were found among genotypes for all traits, both individually and across environments, according to the experimental design's pooled analysis of variance (Table 1). With the exception of harvest index and starch content, the mean square resulting from the genotype × environment interaction was significant for every trait. For all traits except 1000-grain weight, harvest index, protein content, and starch content, the mean sum of squares resulting from the parent × environment interaction was significant. The mean sum of squares due to cross × environment interaction was significant for all traits except harvest index and starch content. This indicates the existence of sufficient genetic variability and heterosis in the experimental material used in the present investigation. Similar results were reported by Singh *et al.* (2007), Lodhi *et al.* (2015), Patial *et al.* (2016), and Lal *et al.* (2018b). Although the magnitude and direction of heterosis differ across crosses and traits, this research paper focuses exclusively on the trait grain yield per plant.



Table 1: Pooled ANOVA showing mean squares of parents and F_1 's for different traits

Source	Env.	Gen.	Parent	P v/s C	Cross	G x E	P x E	Cross x E	Pooled Error
df	2	62	17	1	44	124	34	88	372
Days to 75% heading	10325.19**	87.69**	48.52**	1614.93**	68.11**	10.86**	12.22**	10.19**	2.48
Days to maturity	6104.13**	69.33**	24.99**	1810.51**	46.89**	9.53**	10.78**	8.53**	3.77
Plant height (cm)	15520.61**	161.12**	217.98**	846.95**	123.56**	11.52**	11.55*	11.50**	7.67
Effective tillers per plant	245.94**	26.61**	32.26**	162.11**	21.35**	0.81**	1.26**	0.63**	0.18
Grains per spike	11603.02**	258.82**	211.07**	6167.46**	142.98**	15.76**	13.73**	16.22**	6.65
Spike length (cm)	99.16**	9.77**	8.24**	125.05**	7.74**	0.34**	0.40**	0.24**	0.13
1000-grain weight (g)	3390.20**	91.08**	36.58**	2284.94**	62.28**	2.96**	2.13	2.56**	1.59
Grain yield per plant (g)	1636.57**	70.20**	51.47**	992.17**	56.49**	2.27**	2.60**	1.82**	0.92
Biological yield per plant(g)	4150.93**	176.23**	82.13**	3228.96**	143.20**	8.14**	7.03**	7.61**	2.78
Harvest index (%)	1995.33**	157.14**	237.08**	670.42**	114.59**	9.83	9.15	10.14	9.62
Protein content (%)	22.53**	1.98**	2.22**	3.79**	1.84**	0.07**	0.04	0.08**	0.05
Starch content (%)	166.29**	7.65**	5.67**	14.27**	8.27**	0.4	0.07	0.54	0.43
Proline content ($\mu\text{g}/100\text{mg}$)	6491.10**	535.96**	614.31**	31.96**	517.14**	3.56**	3.20**	2.64**	1.27
Chlorophyll content (SPAD)	2892.80**	44.59**	54.55**	217.61**	36.80**	4.98**	4.15**	5.29**	1.53

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1%, respectively

In the current study, two types of heterosis were investigated: average heterosis and heterobeltiosis. Heterosis as percent increase (+) or decrease (-) of F_1 over mid parent (heterosis) and better parent (heterobeltiosis) were computed for all the fourteen traits in all three environments. Enhancing grain yield remains the primary objective of breeding programs, as it is closely linked to favorable heterotic effects. Consequently, significant positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis are highly desirable. In the current research study, mid-parent heterosis for grain yield per plant ranged from -4.04% (JB 110 \times RD 2508) to 41.79% (RD 2660 \times F_1) in environment E_1 ; from -3.46% (DWRB 137 \times RD 2508) to 44.79% (RD 2660 \times F_1) in E_2 ; and from -10.87% (RD 2052 \times F_1) to 49.47% (DWRUB 64 \times F_1) in E_3 . Out of 45 crosses evaluated in each environment, significant positive heterosis over the mid-parent was observed in 40 crosses in E_1 , 44 crosses in E_2 , and 34 crosses in E_3 . Significant positive heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant ranged from 7.08% (DWRB 137 \times RD 3005) to 40.81% (DWRUB 64 \times RD 2508)

in environment E_1 ; from 6.19% (PL 751 \times F_1) to 39.23% (DWRUB 64 \times RD 2508) in E_2 ; and from 8.86% (RD 2660 \times RD 3005) to 38.16% (DWRUB 64 \times RD 2508) in E_3 . Out of 45 crosses evaluated in each environment, significant positive heterobeltiosis was observed in 20 crosses in E_1 , 27 in E_2 , and 19 in E_3 (Table 2). El-Aty *et al.* (2011), Vishwakarma *et al.* (2011), Saad *et al.* (2013), Pesarakhlu *et al.* (2016), Ram and Shekhawat (2017b), Parashar (2019a), Lal *et al.* (2020), Meena *et al.* (2022), Panwar *et al.* (2022) and Sharma *et al.* (2022) also reported similar findings in different environments for grain yield per plant.

The superiority of hybrids over the better-performing parent (heterobeltiosis) is a key criterion for evaluating the commercial potential of heterosis. It also helps in identifying parental combinations that are likely to produce highly transgressive segregants. The examination of Table 2 revealed that the crosses BG 105 \times RD 2508, DWRUB 64 \times RD 2508, PL 751 \times RD 2508, RD 2035 \times RD 2508, RD 2552 \times RD 2508, BH 959 \times RD 3005, HUB 113 \times RD 3005, JB 110 \times RD 3005, RD 2552 \times RD



Table 2: Heterosis (H) and heterobeltiosis (HB) estimates for grain yield per plant across individual environments

Crosses	Heterosis (H)			Heterobeltiosis (HB)		
	E ₁	E ₂	E ₃	E ₁	E ₂	E ₃
BG 105 × RD 2508	27.81**	35.51**	26.18**	23.53**	31.98**	25.79**
BH 959 × RD 2508	6.71*	16.22**	-2.98	4.87	15.12**	-
DWRUB 64 × RD 2508	41.09**	42.37**	40.97**	40.81**	39.23**	38.16**
DWRB 137 × RD 2508	-0.11	-3.46	-4.74	-	-	-
HUB 113 × RD 2508	5.64	7.89**	-2.42	-	-	-
JB 110 × RD 2508	-4.04	6.35*	20.09**	-	-	-
K 551 × RD 2508	13.76**	18.30**	12.04**	-	2.12	-
NDB 1445 × RD 2508	13.12**	11.75**	2.30	-	-	-
PL 751 × RD 2508	21.12**	22.51**	32.97**	15.44**	17.52**	23.02**
VLB 118 × RD 2508	16.85**	14.78**	15.91**	-	-	-
RD 2035 × RD 2508	39.93**	40.23**	48.82**	20.49**	18.88**	30.57**
RD 2052 × RD 2508	32.47**	38.08**	44.10**	3.85	12.14**	18.99**
RD 2552 × RD 2508	33.20**	35.91**	46.52**	30.63**	35.65**	28.55**
RD 2660 × RD 2508	20.91**	21.38**	19.15**	-	1.73	-
RD 2907 × RD 2508	14.29**	13.82**	13.66**	-	0.00	-
BG 105 × RD 3005	13.75**	9.74**	16.22**	6.09	3.05	-
BH 959 × RD 3005	25.40**	27.37**	27.39**	15.13**	15.69**	12.04**
DWRUB 64 × RD 3005	20.72**	19.38**	17.08**	8.89**	7.18**	-
DWRB 137 × RD 3005	12.20**	15.12**	9.32**	7.08*	7.89**	-
HUB 113 × RD 3005	11.76**	11.57**	20.47**	11.06**	11.57**	14.02**
JB 110 × RD 3005	17.74**	14.73**	13.58**	17.59**	11.81**	9.59*
K 551 × RD 3005	9.09**	13.47**	16.12**	5.53	6.25	3.69
NDB 1445 × RD 3005	15.57**	14.86**	5.70	3.52	-	-
PL 751 × RD 3005	13.80**	10.93**	10.34**	-	-	-
VLB 118 × RD 3005	14.79**	16.54**	4.95	5.28	6.02	-
RD 2035 × RD 3005	14.59**	20.55**	6.13	8.54*	10.65**	1.00
RD 2052 × RD 3005	25.66**	28.97**	-2.64	7.04	12.85**	-
RD 2552 × RD 3005	21.10**	20.13**	19.79**	11.39**	10.25**	14.00**
RD 2660 × RD 3005	19.02**	16.73**	16.14**	3.77	5.79	8.86*
RD 2907 × RD 3005	30.65**	30.42**	30.74**	21.13**	24.54**	23.99**
BG 105 × F ₁	32.96**	34.97**	38.70**	28.48**	28.66**	20.00**
BH 959 × F ₁	10.50**	15.90**	32.61**	5.04	6.81*	19.89**
DWRUB 64 × F ₁	29.00**	32.86**	49.47**	20.40**	20.99**	26.81**
DWRB 137 × F ₁	16.03**	20.00**	24.26**	14.84**	14.17**	10.19**
HUB 113 × F ₁	15.09**	14.35**	14.23**	10.26**	12.56**	5.03
JB 110 × F ₁	2.18	10.05**	-8.97*	-	5.61	-
K 551 × F ₁	4.62	12.27**	-2.69	-	3.59	-
NDB 1445 × F ₁	15.59**	16.69**	10.58*	0.23	-	-
PL 751 × F ₁	14.08**	18.69**	13.97**	2.02	6.19*	5.62
VLB 118 × F ₁	11.43**	13.25**	-0.48	-	1.57	-
RD 2035 × F ₁	33.50**	38.04**	33.56**	22.14**	24.89**	31.00**
RD 2052 × F ₁	26.38**	24.94**	-10.87**	4.43	7.85*	-
RD 2552 × F ₁	23.37**	23.57**	35.26**	17.51**	15.09**	32.67**
RD 2660 × F ₁	41.79**	44.79**	37.01**	19.81**	29.37**	24.78**
RD 2907 × F ₁	15.21**	20.86**	9.50*	3.26	13.68**	0.87

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1%, respectively



3005, RD 2907 x RD 3005, BG 105 x F₁, DWRUB 64 x F₁, DWRB 137 x F₁, RD 2035 x F₁, RD 2552 x F₁ and RD 2660 x F₁ depicted significant heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant across all three environments. As a result, these crosses could be seen as promising for increasing barley production in conditions of early, normal, and late (heat stress) sowing. The cross combinations exhibit significant desirable heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant and its contributing traits in different environments are presented in Table 3. The parents displaying desirable heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant also displayed

desirable heterobeltiosis for at least one or more yield qualities, as was clear from studying the table. For example, heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant was primarily influenced by days to 75% heading, plant height, days to maturity, number of effective tillers per plant, spike length, number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight, and biological yield per plant across all three environments. These findings supported Grafius' (1959) statement that as yield is a product of the interactions between its many contributing features, there could not be a distinct gene system for yield in and of itself.

Table 3: The cross combinations displayed significant positive heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant and its component traits across diverse environments

Environments	Crosses	Grain yield per plant (g)	Days to 75% heading	Days to maturity	Plant height (cm)	Effective tillers per plant	Grains per spike	Spike length (cm)	1000-grain weight (g)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	Protein content (%)	Starch content (%)	Proline content (µg/ 100 mg fresh weight)	Chlorophyll content (SPAD)
E ₁	DWRUB 64 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	RD 2552 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
	BG 105 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	BG 105 x RD 2508	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	RD 2035 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
	RD 2907 x RD 3005	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
	RD 2035 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
	DWRUB 64 x F ₁	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	RD 2660 x F ₁	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	JB 110 x RD 3005	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	RD 2552 x F ₁	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
	PL 751 x RD 2508	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
	BH 959 x RD 3005	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	DWRB 137 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
	RD 2552 x RD 3005	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	HUB 113 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
	HUB 113 x F ₁	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
	DWRUB 64 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	RD 2035 x RD 3005	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	DWRB 137 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
E ₂	DWRUB 64 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
	RD 2552 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
	BG 105 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
	RD 2660 x F ₁	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-



BG 105 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
RD 2035 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
RD 2907 x RD 3005	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
DWRUB 64 x F ₁	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
RD 2035 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
PL 751 x RD 2508	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
BH 959 x RD 3005	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
BH 959 x RD 2508	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
RD 2552 x F ₁	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
DWRB 137 x F ₁	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
RD2907 x F ₁	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
RD 2052 x RD 3005	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
HUB 113 x F ₁	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
RD 2052 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+
JB 110 x RD 3005	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
RD 2035 x RD 3005	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
HUB 113 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
RD 2552 x RD 3005	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
DWRB 137 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
RD 2052 x F ₁	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
DWRUB 64 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
BH 959 x F ₁	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
PL 751 x F ₁	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-
E ₃ DWRUB 64 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
RD 2552 x F ₁	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-
RD 2035 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
RD 2035 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
RD 2552 x RD 2508	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
DWRUB 64 x F ₁	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
BG 105 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
RD 2660 x F ₁	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
RD 2907 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+
PL 751 x RD 2508	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
BG 105 x F ₁	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
BH 959 x F ₁	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
RD 2052 x RD 2508	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
HUB 113 x RD 3005	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
RD 2552 x RD 3005	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-
BH 959 x RD 3005	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
DWRB 137 x F ₁	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
JB 110 x RD 3005	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
RD 2660 x RD 3005	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-

+ Significant and desirable; - Non significant and undesirable

Heterobeltiosis for grain yield is likely due to heterobeltiosis in various yield-contributing traits. While crosses showed heterotic expression for grain yield per plant, they were not heterotic for all traits. Furthermore, it was noted

that the various surroundings affected the expression of heterobeltiosis, resulting in a substantial interaction between environment and genetics for practically all traits. The findings of Sharma *et al.* (2002), Potla *et al.* (2013),



Saad et al. (2013), Mansour (2016), Ram and Shekhawat (2017a), and Sharma et al. (2022) consistently support the observation of maximum heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant across various environments and traits.

Acknowledgements

Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University, Jobner is sincerely acknowledged for providing facility and support for the study.

Authors' contributions

AK, SSR, SSP and KJ- compiled and edited the manuscript; SC helped in the statistical analysis of the findings and in editing of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval

The article doesn't contain any study involving ethical approval.

Use of Generative AI or AI assisted technologies

Authors declare that no Generative AI or AI assisted technologies have been used in preparation of this manuscript.

References

1. El-Aty A, K Amer, IS Eldegwy and AAA El-Akhdar. 2011. Genetic studies on yield and its components in some barley crosses. *Journal of Plant Production*, **2**(11): 1537-1550.
2. FAOSTAT. 2020. <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC>
3. Fonseca S and FL Patterson. 1968. Hybrid vigor in a seven parent diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Crop Science*, **8**(1): 85-88.
4. Grafius JE. 1959. Heterosis in barley. *Agronomy Journal*, **51**(9): 551-554.
5. Ketata H, EL Smith, LK Edwards and RW McNew. 1976. Detection of epistasis, additive and dominance variation in winter wheat. *Crop Science*, **16**: 1-4.
6. Lal C, AS Shekhawat, J Singh, SS Rajput, SR Sharma and P Kumar. 2020. Heterosis studies in six-rowed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under different sowing

Heterosis assessment in barley under varying sowing conditions

- condition. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **90**(7): 1306-1309.
7. Lal C, AS Shekhawat, SS Rajput and J Singh. 2018a. Heterosis and inbreeding depression studies for grain yield and related traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under early and timely sown conditions. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **10**(15): 6886-6889.
 8. Lal C, AS Shekhawat, SS Rajput, J Singh and SR Sharma. 2018b. Combining ability analysis for grain yield and its attributing traits in six-rowed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *International Journal of Pure Applied Bioscience*, **6**(4): 408-414.
 9. Lodhi RD, LC Prasad, SS Bornare, AH Madakemohekar and R Prasad. 2015. Stability analysis of yield and its component traits of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes in multi-environment trials in the northeastern plains of India. *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, **47**(2): 143-159.
 10. Mansour M. 2016. Genetical analysis of some quantitative traits in barley under saline soil conditions. In *Proceedings, The Sixth Field Crops Conference*, FCRI, ARC, Giza, Egypt, **99**: 107.
 11. Meena BS, A Dashora, NS Dodiya, D Kumar and RPS Verma. 2022. Study of heterosis for yield and grain quality traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Ecology, Environment and Conservation*, **28**: 356-363.
 12. Panse VG and PV Sukhatme. 1985. Statistical methods for agricultural workers, ICAR, New Delhi, pp. 381.
 13. Panwar D, H Sharma, S Dalip Kumar, B Goswami, V Khandelwal and Vandna. 2022. Analysis of heterosis in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) for yield, its attributing and quality traits. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(4): 1469-1475.
 14. Parashar N, DK Gothwal and G Singh. 2019a. Study of heat susceptibility indices for yield and its attributes in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **8**(2): 1115-1119.
 15. Patial M, D Pal and J Kumar. 2016. Combining ability and gene action studies for grain yield and its component traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, **48**(1): 90-96.



16. Pesaraklu S, H Soltanloo, S Ramezanpour, M KalateArabi and AA NasrollahNejadGhomi. 2016. An estimation of the combining ability of barley genotypes and heterosis for some quantitative traits. *Iran Agricultural Research*, **35**(1): 73-80.
17. Potla KR, SS Bornare, IC Prasad, R Prasad and AH Madakemohekar. 2013. Study of heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield contributing traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *The Bioscan*, **8**(4): 1231-1235.
18. Ram M and AS Shekhawat. 2017a. Heterosis, inbreeding depression and combining ability analysis for yield and its component traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant Archives*, **17**(2): 851-860.
19. Ram M and AS Shekhawat. 2017b. Genotypic variances and interactions with environments in barley genotypes using half diallel analysis for grain yield and its associate characters. *Forage Research*, **43**(1): 22-25.
20. Saad FF, AA Abd El-Mohsen, MA Abd El-Shafi. and IH Al-Soudan. 2013. Genetic behavior of grain yield and its components in barley crosses under water stress and non-stress conditions. *Scientia Agriculture*, **1**(2): 45-55.
21. Shabrangy A, A Ghatak, S Zhang, A Priller, P Chaturvedi and W Weckwerth. 2021. Magnetic field induced changes in the shoot and root proteome of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Frontiers in Plant Science*, **12**: 622795.
22. Sharma SK, AK Sharma, LD Sharma, S Singh, AP Rajput and M Yadav. 2022. Heterosis investigation in six rowed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(2): 1842-1846.
23. Sharma Y, SN Sharma, P Joshi and RS Sain. 2002. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield contributing characters in six-rowed barley. *SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics*, **34**(2): 55-63.
24. Shaveta S, H Kaur and S Kaur. 2019. Hulled barley: A new era of research for food purposes. *Journal of Cereal Research*, **11**(2): 114-124.
25. Singh S, GS Dhindsa, A Sharma and P Singh. 2007. Combining ability for grain yield and its components in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Crop Improvement*, **34**(2): 128-132.
26. Shull GH. 1914. Duplicate genes for capsule-form in bursa-pastoris. *Z Indukt Abstamm Vererbungsl*, **12**(1): 97-149.
27. Vishwakarma SR, S Abhay, B Raj and S Nirbhay. 2011. Expression of heterosis for yield and chlorophyll content in barley. *Plant Archives*, **11**(2): 891-894.
28. Yadav M, V Prakash, SS Punia, J Singh, SS Rajput and M Choudhary. 2021. Heterosis for grain yield and associated traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotypes under limited moisture condition of Rajasthan. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **10**(9): 470-474.

