12(1): 1-12

Homepage: http://epubs.icar.org.in/ejournal/index.php/JWR

Review Article

Recent advances in genomics assisted breeding for drought stress tolerance in major cereals

Shabir Hussain Wani¹, Jeet Ram Choudhary², Mukesh Choudhary³, Maneet Rana⁴ and Satbir Singh Gosal^{5*}

¹Mountain Research Centre for Field Crops, SKUAST - K, Khudwani Anantnag-192101, ²Indian Agricultural Research Institute, Pusa Campus, New Delhi, India ³The University of Wastern Australia, Push Australia

³The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia.

⁴ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, Jhansi-284003, India

⁵Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India

Society for Advancement of Wheat and Barley Research ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat & Barley Research Karnal - 132 001, India

Article history

Received: 15 Mar., 2020 Revised: 18 Apr., 2020 Accepted: 20 Apr., 2020

Citation

Wani SH, JR Choudhary, M Choudhary, M Rana and SS Gosal. 2020. Recent advances in genomics assisted breeding for drought stress tolerance in major cereals. *Journal of Cereal Research* **12**(1): 1-12. http:// doi.org/10.25174/2582-2675/2020/100821

*Corresponding author Email: ssgosal@rediffmail.com

© Society for Advancement of Wheat and Barley Research

Abstract

Drought is one of the foremost threats for global cereal crop production with looming risks due to changing climatic scenarios. Among major cereals, rice wheat and maize are commonly grown worldwide for their importance as staple food as well as significance in fulfilling the nutritional requirements among the escalating human population. Drought being a complex trait is difficult to manage through conventional breeding approaches therefore, recent advances in genomics tools has resulted in précised and targeted identification of mechanisms underlying drought stress tolerance in cereals. Further, combination of customary breeding advances with the recent high throughput genomics technologies resulted in the popularization of genomics assisted breeding. There are various marker-assisted breeding (MAB) strategies to transfer or introgress trait of interest; these include marker-assisted selection (MAS); marker-assisted introgression (MAI), MA-backcrossing (MABC), MArecurrent selection (MARS), MA-gene pyramiding (MAGP); genomewide selection (GWS) and genomic selection (GS). In this review, recent advances for achieving drought stress tolerance in major cereals using genomics assisted breeding (GAB) has been discussed. We begin with the genetics of drought stress traits, MAB for abiotic stress tolerance with successful examples of mapped genomic regions for drought stress tolerance in rice, wheat and maize, respectively and finally MAI of genomic regions for improvement of drought tolerance in cereals. Further, in addition to MAB, genomic selection, an advanced molecular breeding technology, have pronounced potential to improve multiple traits simultaneously including drought tolerance in cereals.

Keywords: QTLs, GWAS, drought, MAS

1. Introduction

Cereals including rice, wheat and maize majorly contributed to food and animal feed globally. With expected 9.7 billion global human population by 2050, annual cereal production must be augmented so that future requirement can be met out. In addition to the fact that arable land is shrinking day by day and has led to conversion of fertile arable lands due to urbanization. Therefore, in the present scenario, it appears hard to accomplish the projected target of growing the food production by 70 percent by 2050 (Wani and Sah, 2014). Repeated incidents of drought roughly in every five years resulted in up to 40% loss of total rice production in eastern states of India (Bhandari *et al.* 2007; Wassman

et al. 2009). Considerable accomplishments have been achieved for increasing the grain yield for most of the cereals but in the present climate change scenario, abiotic stresses particularly drought poses a severe challenge in further yield enhancement and sustaining the present yields. Abiotic stresses are key yield limiting factors with anticipated losses due to drought, waterlogging and heat disclose grave apprehension for productivity in various crops (Gosal and Wani, 2018; Ahmad et al., 2019). Drought stress refers to water scarcity which persuades vivid changes at molecular and biochemical level which ultimately changes the morphological and physiological state of the plant, hence leading to reduced crop growth and yields (Sallam et al., 2019). Drought being a complex trait is difficult to manage through conventional breeding approaches therefore, recent advances in genomics tools has resulted in précised and targeted identification of mechanisms underlying drought stress tolerance in cereals.

Hence, combination of customary breeding advances with the advanced genomics tools resulted in the popularization of genomics assisted breeding. Quantitative Trait Loci (QTL) and association mapping (AM) studies assisted in precise identification of various minor genes and some major genes responsible for drought tolerance in major cereals (Chen et al., 2016; Wani et al., 2018). Similarly, more recent advancements in omics, fine mapping and expression experiments revealed the accurate genomic position of genes governing drought tolerance and categorization of biochemical, physiological and molecular mechanism and signalling pathways responsible for the expression of drought tolerant genes. High throughput phenotyping approaches including root traits studies, water use efficiency estimation, and evapotranspiration studies have given further impetus to precision phenotyping which is a prerequisite for genomics assisted breeding. Therefore, genomics assisted breeding tools provide a prospect to accelerate the cereal drought improvement research worldwide (Tuberosa and Salvi, 2006; Wani et al., 2019). For genomics assisted breeding, the first and foremost requirement is availability of tightly linked molecular markers with the trait of interest. Since drought is a complex trait, so numbers of genes/QTLs are responsible for imparting tolerance to the crop. These genes/loci need to be tagged with molecular markers using high-throughput technology. High throughput advancements in molecular marker technology have provided a wider range of molecular markers like RFLP. Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism, RAPD: Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA, AFLP: Amplified fragment length polymorphism, CAPS: Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequences, SCAR: Sequence Characterized Amplified Region, *ISSR*: Inter Simple Sequence Repeats, SSR: Simple Sequence Repeats or Microsatellites, STS: Sequence-Tagged Sites, SRAP: Sequence Related Amplified polymorphism, TRAP: Target Region Amplification Polymorphism, DArT: Diversity Arrays Technology, SNP: Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism, etc., however SNPs are marker of choice in today's next generation sequencing era. Molecular markers offer an alternative approach to plant breeders to significantly improve elite cultivars for imparting resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses including drought, etc. very rapidly and precisely in addition to conventional selection schemes (Moose and Mumm, 2008; Rana et al., 2019). Molecular markers linked to the targeted trait/QTLs can be used for crop improvement endeavours using GAB programmes. There are various MAB strategies to transfer or introgress trait of interest; these include MAS; MABC; MAGP; MARS; GWS, and GS. This review first begins with the genetics of drought stress traits, then MAB for abiotic stress tolerance with successful examples of genomic regions mapped for drought stress tolerance and finally MAI of genomic regions pertaining to drought tolerance among cereals.

2. Genetics of drought stress traits in cereals

Drought is a complex trait owing to its polygenic nature and low heritability. The gene action and combining ability studies are used to discover the mode of gene action for various agronomic traits under stress and optimum conditions. For example, leaf temperature, kernels per ear, 100-grain weight and grain yield plant⁻¹ in maize are governed by additive as well as non-additive gene action (Wu, 1987; Muraya et al., 2006; Iqbal et al., 2007; Hussain et al. 2009). ASI, Anthesis-Silking Interval, being one of the most important drought stress trait in maize is defined as the widened interval of anthesis and silking. The cause behind high ASI is slow rate of ear growth relative to tassel and therefore delayed silk emergence. Low ASI is usually preferred as it helps in better synchronization of male and female flowering plants and therefore ensuring better seed setting. Similarly, in wheat, both additive and

non-additive gene action are responsible for grain yield related traits under stress, but with predominance of non-additive gene action and medium heritability (Mia et al. 2017). Drought tolerance in rice is considered as a quantitative trait considering its complex nature and array of crop phenotypes linked with it (Mitra 2001). In rice, the leaf rolling trait was governed by single gene (Singh and MacKill 1991). In another study, a gene Drt1 was found to have multiple phenotypic expressions on root system, plant height, pigmentation and awning behavior in drought tolerant lines when exposed to stress (Tomar and Prasad (1996). There are multiple reasons why these genes governing drought tolerance have not been able to map in a breeding population and among them the important ones being the environmental influence and poor heritability (Vinod et al., 2019). Hence, QTL mapping is suggested to be a viable option for dissecting genetics for drought tolerance (Price et al., 2002). Once mapped, the Loci closely related to the genes governing drought stress traits can be efficiently used for improving drought tolerance of mega varieties or popular cultivars which are good in quality traits but are susceptible to drought stress (Kumar et al., 2013; Sandhu et al., 2018; Muthu et al., 2020). In conclusion, the conventional breeding led to limited success in drought stress tolerance owing to its complex nature and limitations of conventional breeding approach. Therefore, genetic dissection of drought tolerance is important for developing elite drought tolerant cultivars using conventional and molecular plant breeding techniques.

3. MAB for abiotic stress tolerance in major crop plants

The advent of molecular markers initiated the era of genetic mapping studies. The traits associated with drought tolerance are governed by genomic regions known as QTL. A lot of QTL mapping studies have been carried out for drought tolerance in cereals (Table 1). However, most of the identified QTLs are of minor effect and less stable (Choudhary *et al.* 2019; Gupta *et al.* 2020). For example, in wheat, over 50 interval mapping studies conducted globally resulted into > 1200 QTL (Gupta *et al.* 2020). The maximum numbers of QTLs have been reported for thousand grain weight followed by grain yield under drought stress and optimum moisture conditions. In case of physiological traits, chlorophyll content followed by

water soluble carbohydrates were maximum targeted traits for QTL identification. However, only 70 QTLs were found to be major effect with PVE ~>20%, and out of these 19 QTL were found to be stable as they were detected in \geq 50% environments. The next section of the review highlights the progress and recent advances mapping of QTLs, meta QTL analysis and introgression of QTLs in rice, wheat and maize, respectively.

3.1 Mapping of genomic regions for drought stress tolerance in Rice: One of the major limitations in rice production under rainfed conditions is the drought stress. Hence, detection and transfer of reliable QTLs for imparting drought tolerance into region specific elite cultivars could be an efficient plan to deal with the low rice production from drought affected areas. In rice, many QTLs for drought tolerance have been reported so far but the advancement on MABC based introgression of the recognized QTLs has not happened to its satisfaction (Table 1). Prince et al. 2015 mapped three QTLs (RM8085, I12S and RM6836) for physiological and yield traits using RIL population (IR20 x Nootripathu). These QTLs may be efficiently exploited for introgression into elite lines for targeting drought affected zones. Similarly, for mapping deep rooting trait, SNP based genotyping platform was used on RILs and AM (Association mapping) panel to mapped six OTLs (Lou et al. 2015). Meanwhile, 10 QTLs for physiological and productivity linked traits were observed by Sangodele et al. (2014) under drought stress using backcross inbred lines (Swarna x WAB 450). However, Lang et al. 2013 utilized BC₂F₂ population of OM1490 x WAB880-1-38-18-20-P1-HB and reported 4 QTLs for root length and root dry weight. These QTLs for dry root weight exhibited a phenotypic variation in the range of 20.7% to 30.8%. Bhattarai et al. (2018) used GBSbased saturated linkage map to identify drought responsive QTLs during vegetative growth. This study, based on evaluation of RILs developed from Cocodrie and N-22, identified 14 additive QTLs for various root and shoot traits. Maximum number of these QTLs were mapped on Chromosome 1 indicating it as potential carrier of drought stress tolerance. Recently, Hoang et al. (2019) conducted GWAS studies for mapping of different drought responsive and recovery traits using 180 rice landraces panel from Vietnam and 21,623 SNPs marker. The study revealed 17 different QTLs for various traits including leaf relative water, its slope and drought sensitivity score.

| 4 QTLS (root length and root dry weight) 15 QTLS (1000 grain weight, Leaf temperature, Relative water content, B a Grain weight per plant, Relative water content, Productive tillers, der | Population | a arctin | Markers | ment | Cnromo- some | References |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Rice | | | | | |
| | BC_2F_2 | O M 1 4 9 0 ×WAB880-1-38-18- 20-P1-HB | SSRs | Green house | 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10 and 12 | Lang <i>et al.</i> 2013 |
| | B a c k c r o s s derived Inbred Lines (BIL) | Swarna × WAB 450 | SSR | Poly house | 1, 2, 3, 7, 8 and 9 | Sangodele et al. 2014 |
| RILS | TS | Zhenshan97B × IRAT 109 | SNP | Field | 1, 2, 4, 7, and 10 | Lou <i>et al.</i> 2015 |
| 3 QTLS (physiological and yield traits) RILs | Ls | $IR20 \times Nootripathu$ | SSRs | Field | 1, 4 and 6 | Prince et al. 2015 |
| RIL | Г | Zhenshan97B × IRAT109 | G e n e microarray | Hydroponic | 11 | Zhou <i>et al.</i> 2016 |
| D (Ha | D o u b l e d Haploid (DH) nomilation | Samgang × Nagdong | SSRs and STSs | Green house and Field | 2,6 and 11 | Kim <i>et al.</i> 2017 |
| 14 QTLs for root length, shoot length, fresh root mass, fresh shoot mass, RII number of tillers, dry root mass, dry shoot mass, and root-shoot ratio | RIL | Cocodrie × N-22 | SNPs | Poly house | 1, 3, 4, 8 and 12 | Bhattarai <i>et al.</i> 2018 |
| 190 | 190 RILs | CR 143-2-2 × Krishnahamsa | SSR | Field | 6 | Barik <i>et al.</i> 2018 |
| 34 OTLs budding, early seedling stage, leaf withering degree and leaf RII rolling index | RILs F ₁₀ | IAPAR-9/Akihikari × IAPAR-9/ Liaovan241 | SSR | Hydroponic (PEG stress) and Field trial | 1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10 and 11 | Han <i>et al.</i> 2018 |
| 5 stable QTLs under reproductive stage (leaf rolling, leaf drying, harvest 190 index, spikelet fertility, and relative water content) | 190 F7 RIL | CR 143-2-2 × Krishnahamsa | SSR | R a i n - o u t shelter (RoS) | 8, 9 and 12 | Barik <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| | Panel of 180 Vietnamese rice landraces | 1 | SNPs | Greenhouse | 1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11 | Hoang <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| 4 QTLs Grain yield under droughts stress and physiological trait [101 photosynthetic rate | 101 BILs of rice (BC_1F_5) | Norungan × TKM9 | SSR | Field | 1, 12, 4, | Ramchander et al. 2016 |
| 21 QTLs Plant, Tillers per plant, Culm length, Leaf dry weight, Stem dry 418 weight, Total shoot dry weight, Leaf drying score, Total water uptake, pop Leaf area, Total root dry weight, Deep root length, Deep root volume, Deen root surface area. Deen root diameter | 418 F ₂ population | Super Basmati × IR55419-04 | SSR | Greenhouse | 1, 6, 11, 2, 3, 4, 9 | Sabar <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| stress | BC_1F_3 | Swarna*2 × Dular | SNP | Field | 1, 3, 6 | Yadav <i>et al.</i> , 2019 |
| stable QTLS (Grain yield under drought stress) BC | BC_1F_3 | $\frac{\text{IR}11\text{N}121^{*2}\times\text{AUS}}{196}$ | SNP | Field | 1, 2, 11 | |
| | Wheat | at | | | | |
| 20 major and minor QTLs (1000 grain weight, grain weight per spike, $ F_3 a$ number of grains per spike, spike weight, spike harvest index and | F_3 and F_4 | Oste-Gata × Massara-1 | SSR | Field | 3B, 7B, 1B, | G o l a b a d i et al. 2011 |
| 1 0 | | | | | 2B, 1B and 3B | |

Table 1. List of selected examples of QTLs identified for drought stress tolerance in major cereals

Journal of Cereal Research

| 6 QTLs (seminal root angle and seminal root number) | DHs | SeriM82 × Hartog | DArT and SSR | Gel chambers | 2A, 3D, 6A, 5D, 4A, 1B, 3A, 3B, 6B | Christopher et al. 2013 |
|---|--|---|---|--------------|--|---|
| 22 QTLs (coleoptile length, seedling height, longest root length, root number, seedling fresh weight, stem and leaves fresh weight, root fresh weight, seedling dry weight, stem and leaves dry weight, root dry weight, root to shoot fresh weight ratio. root-to-shoot dry weight ratio) | RILs | Weimai 8 × Luohan 2 Weimai 8 × Yannong 19 | SSR, ISSR, STS, SRAP and RAPD | Laboratory | 1B, 2A, 2B, 3B, 4A, 5D, 6A, 6D, 7B, and 7D. | Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2013 |
| 13 main QTLs (ABA content) | F_4 | YecoraRojo × Pavon 76 | TRAP, SRAP and SSR | Field | | Barakat <i>et al.</i> 2015 |
| 4 QTLs (net photosynthesis, water content and cell membrane stability) | F_2 | Chakwal-86 \times 6544- 6 | SSR | Hydroponics | 2A | Malik <i>et al.</i> 2015 |
| 3QTLs (yield and biomass) | NILs | Wild emmer wheat (<i>Triticum turgidum</i> ssp. $dicoccoides$) and $durum$ (<i>T</i> turgidum ssp. $durum$) turgidum ssp. $durum$) (Tastimm) | SNP | Net house | 1BL,2BS and 7AS | Merchuk- Ovnat <i>et al.</i> 2016 |
| 225 QTLs spike number per plant and kernel number per spike and thousand-kernel weight | 131 RILs | Chuan 35050 × Shannong 483 | DArTs, SSRs, and ESTSSRs. | Field | 3A, 1A, 7A, 1D | Xu <i>et al.</i> 2017 |
| 1,000-kernel weight and kernels per spike | A d v a n c e d cultivar | 1 | SNP (GBS) | Field | 4A | Ballesta <i>et al.</i> 2020 |
| 46 QTLs for Normalized Difference Vegetation Index, leaf chlorophyll content, leaf rolling and dry biomass | GWAS Panel | 248 accessions of durum wheat | GWAS | field trial | 1A, 1B, 2B, 4B, 5B, 6B, and 7B | Condorelli <i>et</i> <i>al</i> 2018 |
| 4 Major QTLs for spike length and grain per spike | 84 DH population | Opata × SH349 | SSR | Field | 2D, 1B, 7A and 5A | Fatima <i>et al.</i> 2018 |
| Grain yield and its components | Durum panel of 208 lines | 1 | D A r T s e q SNPs | Field | 2A and 2B | Sukumaran <i>et al.</i> 2018 |
| 3 Major QTLs for reproductive growth | 166 DH population | Cranbrook × Halberd | 90K SNP chin | Hydroponics | 5A, 3A and 2A | D o l f e r u s et al. 2019 |
| 7 stable QTLs flag leaf area, flag leaf length, flag leaf width and cell membrane stability | $206 \text{ RILs } F_{9-10}$ | WL711 × C306 | Ŧ | Field | 2DS and 3BS | K h a n n a - Chopra <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| 22 QTLs Yield related traits | 277 wheat accessions and 150 DH | ' | SNPs and SSRs | Field | 2B, 6B, 6A, 5B, 2D, 6D | Li <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| 17 QTLs | 276 RIL population | $SYN-D \times Weebill$ | DArTseq and 90 K SNP | field | 2D, 2A, 1A, 6D, 7A and 5B | Liu <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| | Panel (210 elite European varieties) | 1 | 280 K SNP chip | Field | 2B and 4A | Touzy et al. 2019 |
| 128 QTL grain yield, thousand grain weight (TGW), days to heading and grain filling duration | 233 DH lines | Excalibur × Kukri | SSR, DArT, GBS markers, SNP, ISBP and gene- based | Field | IB | Tura et al. 2019 e |

Recent advances in genomics assisted breeding for drought stress tolerance in major cereals

| | Maize | e | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 17 QTLS (Leaf chlorophyll, Plant senescence, Electric root capacitance. | RILs | CML444 × SC- Malawi | SSRs | Field | 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 and 10 | Messmer et al. 2011 |
| 43 QTLs (QTLs associated with grain yield, leaf width, plant height, ear height, leaf number, tassel branch number and tassel length) | F_2 | $B73 \times DTP79$ | RFLPs, SSRs and AFLPs | Field | $\begin{array}{c} 1, \ 2, \ 3, \ 4, \ 5, \\ 6, \ 7, \ 8 \ \text{and} \\ 10 \end{array}$ | Nikolic <i>et al.</i> 2011 |
| 22 QTLs (sugar concentration, root density, root dry weight, total biomass, relative water content, and leaf abscisic acid content) | $\mathrm{F}_{2:3}$ | $DTP79 \times B73$ | RFLP | Green House | 1, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 9 | Rahman <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2011 |
| 25 QTLs (ASI, plant height, grain yield, ear height and ear setting) | $\mathrm{F}_{2:3}$ | $D5 \times 7924$ | SSRs | Rain shelter | 1,2,3,4,6,8,9 and 10 | Zhu <i>et al.</i> 2011 |
| 64 QTLs (Grain yield, number of kernels per row, number of rows per ear, ear length, ASI, visually-scored drought score, relative water content, osmotic potential and relative sucar content) | $F_{2:3}$ | $B73 \times DTP79$ | RFLPs, SSRs and AFLPs | Field | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10 | Nikolic <i>et al.</i> 2012 |
| 45 QTLs (grain yield per plant and yield components) | $\mathrm{F}_{2:3}$ | B73 × DTP79 | SSRs | Field | $\begin{array}{c} 1, \ 2, \ 3, \ 4, \ 5, \\ 6, \ 7, \ 8 \ \text{and} \\ 10 \end{array}$ | Nikolic <i>et al.</i> 2013 |
| 145 QTLs (Grain yield, ASI), 7 mQTL for grain yield and 1 mQTL for ASI | RILs | CML444 × MALAWI | SNPs | Field | $\frac{1}{7}, \frac{2}{8}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{5}{7}, \frac{1}{8}$ | Almeida <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2013 |
| 203 QTLs (ASI, ears per plant, stay-green and plant-to-ear height ratio) | ${ m F}_{2:3}{ m F}_{2:3}$ | CML440 × CML504 | SNPs and SSRs | Field | 1, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 10 | Almeida <i>et</i> al. 2014 |
| | | CML444 × CML444 | | | | |
| 169 QTLs (Grain yield per plant, ear length, kernel number per row, ear weight and hundred kernel weight) | NAM | 11 bi-parental families (2000 RILs) | SNPs | Field | 1, 3 and 10 | Li <i>et al.</i> 2016 |
| 63 QTLs for early vigor, ears per plant, short ASI, early flowering, stay green | $\mathrm{BC}_{\mathrm{I}}\mathrm{F}_{23}$ | DTPWC9-F104- 5-4-1-1-B-B (DTPWC9F104) × La Posta Sequia C7-F64-2-6-2-1-B-B (LPSC7F64) | SNPs | Field | 2,5,8 | Trachsel et al. 2016 |
| 9 QTLs final grain yield, total number of ears, kernel number, plant height and ASI | 201 diverse inbred lines | - | SNPs | Field | $1, 2, 3, 5, 6, \\8, 10$ | Wang et al. 2016 |
| 12 QTLs for ASI, ear weight and number of kernels per cob | 160 RILs | CM123 × CM140 | SSRs | R a i n o u t shelter | 1, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 | Kaur 2017 |
| 12 stable QTLs for tassel primary branch number and ear number per plant | $F_{2:3}$ populations | Langhuang × TS141 and Chang7–2 × TS141 | SSRs | Field | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 | Zhao <i>et al.</i> 2017 |
| 167 QTLs for ear length, ear diameter, ear weight, kernel weight per ear, and hundred-kernel weight | $213 F_{2:3}$ families | $H082183 \times Lv28$ | 55K SNP | Field | $\begin{array}{c} 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5,\\ 7,\ 8,\ 9,\ \mathrm{and}\\ 10\end{array}$ | Abdelghany et al. 2019 |
| 19 candidate genes for Grain Yield and Flowering Time | 300 tropical andsubtropical inbred lines | 1 | SNPs | Field | 1, 2, 4, 5, 8 and 10 | Yuan <i>et al.</i> 2019 |
| Source : Modified after Wani et al., 2018 | | | | | | |

3.2 Mapping of genomic regions for drought stress tolerance in wheat: Wheat production is limited by the drought stress, especially in the rainfed ecologies globally. Hence, the identification of QTLs for drought stress tolerance is an important step for development of drought tolerant cultivars in wheat. Root architectural traits have significant role in imparting drought stress tolerance to plants. Christopher et al. 2013 mapped 4 QTLs, for seminal root angle and 2 for seminal root number in a SeriM82 and Hartog based doubled haploid (DH) population. Zhang et al. 2013 mapped six major QTLs for drought stress tolerance associated traits. Merchuk-Ovnat et al. 2016 used wild emmer wheat as source of tolerance to develop RILs and mapped three QTLs pertaining to yield and biomass on chromosomes 1BL, 2BS and 7AS. Later, 13 QTLs for abscisic acid content were identified by Barakat et al. (2015) in F₄ population (YecoraRojo and Pavon 76). Similarly, Malik et al. 2015 identified four QTLs for photosynthesis, cell membrane stability and RWC on chromosome 2A in F_2 population (Chakwal-86 (tolerant) x 6544-6). In mapping study on DH population (based on cross of RAC875 and Kukri) under drought stress, Shahinnia et al. 2016 identified four main stable QTLs for drought tolerance, two QTLs each for grain yield and kernel width/thickness ratio. Dolferus et al. 2019 used a DH population (Cranbrook × Halberd) and QTLs for spike grain numbers on chromosome 5A and 2A. Further, Liu et al. (2019) used 276 RILs derived from cross between a parent of synthetic origin (SYN-D: Croc 1 / Aegilops squarrosa (224) // Opata) and an elite line, Weebill 1. The study used SNP markers and reported 71 QTLs, of which eight were common among heat, drought and heat and drought stresses. In addition to this, five QTL hotspots for yield and associated traits were identified under all stresses in chromosomes 2A, 3D, 6D (two) and 7B. The parental line, SYN-D provided 37 QTLs, and rest being provided by Weebill 1. In a recent multi-location study by Tura et al. (2020), main-effect genomic region pertaining to yield QTL (QYld.aww-1B.2) was fine-mapped to 2.9 cM region which corresponds to physical distance of 2.2 Mbp with 39 predicted genes. Such fine mapped QTLs can be easily targeted for introgression studies. GWAS studies help in the establishment of marker traits associations (MTAs) and MTAs identified in the same cluster of SNP linkage disequilibrium can be converted to QTL (Condorelli et al. 2018; Touzy et al. 2019). Gahlaut et al. (2019) identified 46 candidate genes for drought tolerance associated traits using MTAs in a GWAS study.

3.3 Mapping of genomic regions for drought stress tolerance in maize: Maize, being a rainfed crop is quite prone to face the drought stress affecting the global maize production

and hence economic losses. A number of mapping studies revealed significant QTLs for drought stress tolerance traits in maize. Almeida et al. 2013 used three populations (RILs and two $F_{2,3}$) for evaluation under drought stress and optimal conditions and mapped 83 and 62 QTLs for grain yield and ASI, respectively. The study also reported six stable metaQTLs on chromosomes 1, 4, 5 and 10 for grain yield along with two adaptive metaQTLs (each for grain yield and ASI) for drought stress conditions. Using the same population, Almeida et al. 2014, mapped cluster of QTLs for drought associated morpho-physiological traits such as staygreenness, ears per plant etc. on different chromosomes. Zhao et al. 2018 identified 21 stable OTLs under moisture stress conditions. In addition, the study also identified 36 meta-QTLs using the compiled information of 26 population under 52 well-watered and 38 drought stress environments. Recently, Abdelghany et al. 2019 identified 167 QTLs under six drought stress environments for ear length; diameter; weight, kernel weight per ear, and hundred-kernel weight located on chromosome number 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 using 213 F_{2:3} families (cross of H082183 (drought-tolerant) and Lv28). In another metaQTL study, 20 meta-QTLs were identified in 19 populations. Interestingly, 34 candidate genes in the corresponding mQTL regions were found to be associated with the inflorescence development and drought resistance regulation (Zhao et al. 2017). In a GWAS study, Li et al. 2016 used a panel of 5000 inbred lines and identified SNP associations with 354 candidate genes. Out of the these, 52 exhibited differential expression in B73 line under the optimal and drought stress environments (Li et al. 2016).

4. Marker assisted introgression for improvement of drought stress tolerance

Drought tolerance, being polygenic in nature and availability of only limited major and stable QTLs, can be improved by introgression of major QTLs via MABC or combining favourable major and minor effect QTLs via MAGP and MARS. In rice, development of Nepalese drought tolerant variety, Sabitri is one of the successful examples of MABC (Dixit et al. 2017). Similarly, MAGP has been utilized in rice to develop drought-tolerant pyramided lines (MR219) which have productivity potential of >1500 kg ha⁻¹ under water limited environments (Shamsuddin et al. 2016). In another MAGP study, FUNAABOR-2 variety was pyramided with two QTLs named qDTY12.1 and qDTY2.3. The pyramided lines exhibited higher yields over the lines with single or no QTL that indicates towards the significant positive interactions of pyramided QTLs to

impart drought tolerance at reproductive stage (Anyaoha et al. 2019). Hence, the positive interaction among QTLs in different backgrounds can help in combining multiple QTLs for drought stress together and even with biotic stress QTLs (Sandhu et al. 2018). For example, Muthu et al. 2020 developed multiples stress tolerant version of Improved White Ponni (IWP) by introgression of different major effect QTLs, viz. qDTY_{1,1} and qDTY2.1 for drought tolerance, Saltol for salinity tolerance, and Sub1 for submergence tolerance. In wheat, MABC was carried out for introgression of QTLs related to drought tolerance governing traits such as chlorophyll content, grain yield and thousand kernel weight into elite varieties of India, HD2733 and GW322 varieties (Jain et al. 2014). Recently, MABC was carried out for introgression of major drought tolerant QTL for yield, Qyld.csdh.7AL into elite Indian wheat cultivars namely HUW234, HUW468, K307 and DBW17. The introgressed lines exhibited low stress sensitivity index which was validated with their higher yields under rainfed condition (Gautam et al. 2020). Ribaut and Ragot (2007) tested the efficiency of MAS approaches in maize and revealed the efficiency of selecting 10-20 genotypes during MABC cycle for higher genetic gains. However, considering the majority of minor effect QTLs for drought tolerance, Bankole et al. 2017 suggested the use of MARS for development of drought tolerant inbred lines. Later, Cerrudo et al. 2018 recommended the use of QTL-MAS in forward breeding for accumulation of desirable alleles with strong additive QTL in early selection cycles while GS-MAS recommended for accumulation of favourable alleles with smaller additive effects. Further, GS studies carried out by Shikha et al. (2017) in maize, revealed the involvement of drought-responsive transcription factors governing the regulation of stomatal closure, root development, hormonal signaling and photosynthesis.

5. Conclusion and future prospects

For mapping of drought tolerance, being a polygenic trait, breeders must use high throughput phenomics tools in addition to high-throughput genomics, since we have achieved a lot in terms of genomics technologies. Once tightly linked genomic regions with stability and consistency will be identified, then only effects should be on their introgression using various molecular breeding strategies via MABC, MAGP and MARS. Finally, genomic selection, an advanced molecular breeding technology, having great potential should be used in crop improvement endeavours to improve multiple traits simultaneously including drought tolerance in cereals.

6. References

- Abdelghany M, X Liu, L Hao, CGao, SKou, E Su, YZhou, R Wang, D Zhang, Y Li and Y Song. 2019. QTL analysis for yield-related traits under different water regimes in maize. *Maydica* 64(2): 10.
- Ahmad B, A Zaid, Y Sadiq, S Bashir, and SH Wani. 2019. Role of Selective Exogenous Elicitors in Plant Responses to Abiotic Stress Tolerance. In Plant Abiotic Stress Tolerance (pp. 273-290). Springer, Cham
- Almeida GD, D Makumbi, C Magorokosho, S Nair, A Borém, JM Ribaut, M Bänziger, BM Prasanna, J Crossa and R Babu. 2013. QTL mapping in three tropical maize populations reveals a set of constitutive and adaptive genomic regions for drought tolerance. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 126(3): 583-600.
- 4. Almeida GD, S Nair, A Borém, J Cairns, S Trachsel, JM Ribaut, M Bänziger, BM Prasanna, J Crossa and R Babu. 2014. Molecular mapping across three populations reveals a QTL hotspot region on chromosome 3 for secondary traits associated with drought tolerance in tropical maize. *Molecular breeding* 34(2): 701-715.
- Anyaoha CO, M Fofana, V Gracen, P Tongoona and S Mande. 2019. Introgression of Two Drought QTLs into FUNAABOR-2 Early Generation Backcross Progenies Under Drought Stress at Reproductive Stage. *Rice Science* 26(1): 32-41.
- Ballesta P, F Mora, and AD Pozo. 2020. Association mapping of drought tolerance indices in wheat: QTL-rich regions on chromosome 4A. *Scientia Agricola* 77(2).
- 7. Bankole F, A Menkir, G Olaoye, J Crossa, S Hearne, N Unachukwu and M Gedil. 2017. Genetic gains in yield and yield related traits under drought stress and favorable environments in a maize population improved using marker assisted recurrent selection. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 808.
- Barakat MN, MS Saleh, AA Al-Doss, KA Moustafa, AA Elshafei, AM Zakri and FH Al-Qurainy. 2015. Mapping of QTLs associated with abscisic acid and water stress in wheat. *Biologia Plantarum* 59(2): 291-297.
- Barik SR, E Pandit, SK Pradhan, S Singh, P Swain and T Mohapatra. 2018. QTL mapping for relative water content trait at reproductive stage drought stress in rice. *Indian Journal of Genetics* 78(4): 401-408.

- Barik SR, E Pandit, SK Pradhan, SP Mohanty and T Mohapatra. 2019. Genetic mapping of morphophysiological traits involved during reproductive stage drought tolerance in rice. *PLoS One* 14(12).
- Bhandari H, S Pandey, R Sharan, D Naik, I Hirway, SK Taunk and ASRAS Sastri. 2007. Economic costs of drought and rice farmers' drought-coping mechanisms in eastern India. In: Pandey S, Bhandari H, Hardy B (eds) Economic costs of drought and rice farmers' coping mechanisms: a cross-country comparative analysis. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, pp 43-112.
- 12. Bhattarai U and PK Subudhi. 2018. Identification of drought responsive QTLs during vegetative growth stage of rice using a saturated GBS-based SNP linkage map. *Euphytica* **214**(2): 38.
- Cerrudo D, S Cao, Y Yuan, C Martinez, EA Suarez, R Babu, X Zhang and S Trachsel S. 2018. Genomic selection outperforms marker assisted selection for grain yield and physiological traits in a maize doubled haploid population across water treatments. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9: 366.
- Chen W, W Wang, M Peng, L Gong, Y Gao, J Wan, S Wang, L Shi, B Zhou, Z Li, X Peng. 2016. Comparative and parallel genome-wide association studies for metabolic and agronomic traits in cereals. *Nature Communications* 4: 7(1):1-10.
- Choudhary M, SH Wani, P Kumar, PK Bagaria, S Rakshit, M Roorkiwal and RK Varshney. 2019. QTLian breeding for climate resilience in cereals: progress and prospects. *Functional and integrative* genomics 11: 1-7.
- 16. Christopher J, M Christopher, R Jennings, S Jones, S Fletcher, A Borrell, AM Manschadi, D Jordan, E Mace and G Hammer. 2013. QTL for root angle and number in a population developed from bread wheats (*Triticum aestivum*) with contrasting adaptation to water-limited environments. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 126(6): 1563-1574.
- 17. Condorelli GE, M Maccaferri, M Newcomb, P Andrade-Sanchez, JW White, AN French, G Sciara, R Ward and R Tuberosa. 2018. Corrigendum: Comparative Aerial and Ground Based High Throughput Phenotyping for the Genetic Dissection of NDVI as a Proxy for Drought Adaptive Traits in Durum Wheat. Frontiers in plant science 9: 1885.

- Dixit S, RB Yadav, KK Mishra and A Kumar A. 2017. Marker-assisted breeding to develop the droughttolerant version of Sabitri, a popular variety from Nepal. *Euphytica* 213: 184.
- Dolferus R, S Thavamanikumar, H Sangma, S Kleven, X Wallace, K Forrest, G Rebetzke, M Hayden, L Borg, A Smith and B Cullis. 2019. Determining the genetic architecture of reproductive stage drought tolerance in wheat using a correlated trait and correlated marker effect model. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* 9(2): 473-489.
- 20. Fatima S, SK Chaudhari, S Akhtar, MS Amjad, M Akbar, MS Iqbal, M Arshad and T Shehzad. 2018. Mapping QTLS for yield and yield components under drought stress in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research* 16(4): 4431-4453.
- 21. Gahlaut V, V Jaiswal, S Singh, HS Balyan and PK Gupta. 2019. Multi-Locus Genome Wide Association Mapping for Yield and its contributing traits in Hexaploid Wheat under Different Water Regimes. *Scientific Reports* 9(1): 1-15.
- 22. Gautam T, G Saripalli, A Kumar, V Gahlaut, DA Gadekar, M Oak, PK Sharma, HS Balyan and PK Gupta. 2020. Introgression of a drought insensitive grain yield QTL for improvement of four Indian bread wheat cultivars using marker assisted breeding without background selection. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* **19**: 1-2.
- 23. Golabadi M, A Arzani, SM Maibody, BS Tabatabaei and SA Mohammadi. 2011. Identification of microsatellite markers linked with yield components under drought stress at terminal growth stages in durum wheat. *Euphytica* **177**(2): 207-221.
- 24. Gosal SS and SH Wani. 2018. Plant genetic transformation and transgenic crops: methods and applications. In Biotechnologies of Crop Improvement, Volume 2 (pp. 1-23). Springer, Cham.
- 25. Gupta PK, HS Balyan, S Sharma and P Kumar. 2020. Genetics of yield, abiotic stress tolerance and biofortification in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 6: 1-34.
- 26. Han B, J Wang, Y Li, X Ma, S Jo, D Cui, Y Wang, D Park, Y Song, G Cao and X Wang. 2018. Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with drought tolerance traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under PEG and field drought stress. *Euphytica* 214(4): 74.

- 27. Hoang GT, LV Dinh, TT Nguyen, NK Ta, F Gathignol, CD Mai, S Jouannic, KD Tran, TH Khuat, VN Do and M Lebrun 2019. Genome-wide association study of a panel of Vietnamese rice landraces reveals new QTLs for tolerance to water deficit during the vegetative phase. *Rice* 12(1): 4.
- 28. Hussain I, M Ahsan, M Saleem and A Ahmed. 2009. Gene action studies for agronomic traits in maize under normal and water stress conditions. *Pak J Agri Sci* 46: 108-112.
- 29. Iqbal AM, FA Nehvi, SA Wani, R Qadir and ZA Dar. 2007. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield related traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics* 1: 101-105.
- 30. Jain N, GP Singh, PK Singh, P Ramya, H Krishna, KT Ramya, L Todkar, B Amasiddha, KP Kumar, P Vijay and V Jadon. 2014. Molecular approaches for wheat improvement under drought and heat stress. *Indian Journal of Genetics and plant breeding* 74(4s): 578.
- Kaur K. 2017. Mapping of QTLs for drought tolerance component traits in maize (Doctoral dissertation, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana).
- 32. Khanna-Chopra R, K Singh, S Shukla, S Kadam and NK Singh. 2019. QTLs for cell membrane stability and flag leaf area under drought stress in a wheat RIL population. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 1-11.
- 33. Kim TH, YJ Hur, SI Han, JH Cho, KM Kim, JH Lee, YC Song, YU Kwon and D Shin. 2017. Droughttolerant QTL *qVDT11* leads to stable tiller formation under drought stress conditions in rice. *Plant Science* 256: 131-138.
- Kumar A, S Dixit, A Henry. 2013. Marker-assisted introgression of major QTLs for grain yield under drought in rice. *Translational genomics for crop breeding*.2:47-64.
- 35. Lang NT, CT Nha, PT Ha and BC Buu. 2013. Quantitative trait loci (QTLs) associated with drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Sabrao Journal of Breeding and Genetics 45(3): 409-421.
- 36. Li C, B Sun, Y Li, C Liu, X Wu, D Zhang, Y Shi, Y Song, ES Buckler, Z Zhang and T Wang. 2016. Numerous genetic loci identified for drought tolerance in the maize nested association mapping populations. *BMC Genomics* 17(1): 894.
- Li L, X Mao, J Wang, X Chang, M Reynolds and R Jing. 2019. Genetic dissection of drought and heat-

responsive agronomic traits in wheat. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **42**(9): 2540-2553.

- Liu C, S Sukumaran, E Claverie, C Sansaloni, S Dreisigacker and M Reynolds. 2019. Genetic dissection of heat and drought stress QTLs in phenology-controlled synthetic-derived recombinant inbred lines in spring wheat. *Molecular breeding* 39(3): 34.
- 39. Lou Q, L Chen, H Mei, H Wei, F Feng, P Wang, H Xia, T Li and L Luo. 2015. Quantitative trait locus mapping of deep rooting by linkage and association analysis in rice. *Journal of experimental botany* 66(15): 4749-4757.
- Malik S and TA Malik. 2015. Genetic mapping of potential QTLs associated with drought tolerance in wheat. JAPS: Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences 25(4).
- Merchuk-Ovnat L, V Barak, T Fahima, F Ordon, GA Lidzbarsky, T Krugman and Y Saranga. 2016. Ancestral QTL Alleles from Wild Emmer Wheat Improve Drought Resistance and Productivity in Modern Wheat Cultivars. *Frontier in Plant Sciences* 7: 452.
- 42. Messmer R, Y Fracheboud, M Bänziger, P Stamp and JM Ribaut. 2011. Drought stress and tropical maize: QTLs for leaf greenness, plant senescence, and root capacitance. *Field Crops Research* 124(1): 93-103.
- 43. Mia MS, Liu H, Wang X, Lu Z and Yan G. 2017. Response of wheat to post-anthesis water stress, and the nature of gene action as revealed by combining ability analysis. *Crop and Pasture Science* **68**(6): 534-543.
- Muraya MM, CM Ndirangu, EO Omolo. 2006. Heterosis and combining ability in diallel crosses involving maize (*Zea mays L.*) S1 lines. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 46: 387-394.
- Moose SP, RH Mumm. 2008. Molecular plant breeding as the foundation for 21st century crop improvement. *Plant Physiology* 147(3):969-77.
- 46. Muthu V, R Abbai, J Nallathambi, H Rahman, S Ramasamy, R Kambale, T Thulasinathan, B Ayyenar and R Muthurajan. 2020. Pyramiding QTLs controlling tolerance against drought, salinity, and submergence in rice through marker assisted breeding. *PloS one* 15(1): e0227421.
- Nikolić A, D Ignjatović-Micić, D Dodig, V Anđelković and V Lazić-Jančić. 2012. Identification of QTLs for yield and drought-related traits in maize: assessment

of their causal relationships. *Biotechnology and Biotechnological Equipment* **26**(3): 2952-2960.

- Nikolić A, V Anđelković, D Dodig and D Ignjatović-Micić. 2011. Quantitative trait loci for yield and morphological traits in maize under drought stress. *Genetika* 43(2): 263-276.
- Nikolić A, V Anđelković, D Dodig, S Mladenović-Drinić, N Kravić and D Ignjatović-Micić. 2013. Identification of QTL-s for drought tolerance in maize, II: yield and yield components. *Genetika* 45(2): 341-350.
- 50. Price A, JE Cairns, P Horton, HG Jones, H Griffiths. 2002. Linking drought-resistance mechanisms to drought avoidance in upland rice using a QTL approach: Progress and new opportunities to integrate stomatal and mesophyll responses. *Journal* of *Experimental Botany* 53:989–1004.
- 51. Prince SJ, R Beena, GS Michael, S Senthivel and BR Chandra. 2015. Mapping Consistent Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Yield QTLs under Drought Stress in Target Rainfed Environments. *Rice* **8**: 25.
- 52. Rahman H, S Pekic, V Lazic-Jancic, SA Quarrie, SM Shah, A Pervez and MM Shah. 2011. Molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci for drought tolerance in maize plants. *Genetic and Molecular Research* 10(2): 889-901.
- 53. Ramchander S, M Raveendran and S Robin. 2016. Mapping QTLs for physiological traits associated with drought tolerance in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Investigative Genomics* 3(3): 00052.
- 54. Rana M, A Sood, W Hussain, R Kaldate, TR Sharma, RK Gill, S Kumar and S Singh. 2019. Gene pyramiding and multiple character breeding. In Lentils (pp. 83-124). Academic Press.
- 55. Ribaut JM and M Ragot. 2007. Marker-assisted selection to improve drought adaptation in maize: the backcross approach, perspectives, limitations and alternatives. Journal of Experimental Botany 58: 351-360.
- 56. Sabar M, G Shabir, SM Shah, K Aslam, SA Naveed and M Arif. 2019. Identification and mapping of QTLs associated with drought tolerance traits in rice by a cross between Super Basmati and IR55419-04. *Breeding science* 69(1): 169-178.
- 57. Sallam A, AM Alqudah, M Dawood, PS Baenziger, and A Börner. 2019. Drought Stress Tolerance in Wheat and Barley: Advances in Physiology, Breeding

and Genetics Research. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 20: 3137.

- 58. Sandhu N, S Dixit, BM Swamy, P Vikram, C Venkateshwarlu, M Catolos and A Kumar. 2018. Positive interactions of major-effect QTLs with genetic background that enhances rice yield under drought. *Scientific reports* 8(1): 1-3.
- 59. Sangodele EA, RR Hanchinal, NG Hanamaratti, V Shenoy and VM Kumar. 2014. Analysis of drought tolerant QTL linked to physiological and productivity component traits under water-stress and non-stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *International Journal of Current Research and Academic Review* **2**(5): 108-113.
- 60. Shahinnia F, JL Roy, B Laborde, B Sznajder, P Kalambettu, S Mahjourimajd, J Tilbrook and D Fleury. 2016. Genetic association of stomatal traits and yield in wheat grown in low rainfall environments. *BMC plant biology* 16(1): 150.
- Shamsudin NAA, BM Swamy, W Ratnam, MTS Cruz, A Raman and A Kumar. 2016. Marker assisted pyramiding of drought yield QTLs into a popular Malaysian rice cultivar, MR219. *BMC Genetics* 17(1): 30.
- 62. Shikha M, A Kanika, AR Rao, MG Mallikarjuna, HS Gupta and Nepolean T. 2017. Genomic Selection for Drought Tolerance Using Genome-Wide SNPs in Maize. *Frontier in Plant Sciences* 8: 550.
- 63. Singh BN, DJ Mackill. 1991. Genetics of leaf rolling under drought stress. In: Banta SJ, Argosino GS (eds) Rice genetics II. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, pp 153–166
- 64. Sukumaran S, MP Reynolds and C Sansaloni. 2018. Genome-wide association analyses identify QTL hotspots for yield and component traits in durum wheat grown under yield potential, drought, and heat stress environments. *Frontiers in Plant Sciences* **9**: 81.
- 65. Tomar JB, SC Prasad. 1996. Relationship between inheritance and linkage for drought tolerance in upland rice (*Oryza sativa*). *Indian Journal Agricultural Sciences* 66:459-465
- 66. Touzy G, R Rincent, M Bogard, S Lafarge, P Dubreuil, A Mini, JC Deswarte, K Beauchêne, J Le Gouis and S Praud. 2019. Using environmental clustering to identify specific drought tolerance QTLs in bread wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 132(10): 2859-2880.

- 67. Trachsel S, D Sun, FM SanVicente, H Zheng, GN Atlin, EA Suarez, R Babu and X Zhang. 2016. Identification of QTL for early vigor and stay-green conferring tolerance to drought in two connected advanced backcross populations in tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.). *PloS one* 11(3).
- Tuberosa R, S Salvi. 2006. Genomics-based approaches to improve drought tolerance of crops. *Trends in plant Science.* 11(8):405-12.
- 69. Tura H, J Edwards, V Gahlaut, M Garcia, B Sznajder, U Baumann, F Shahinnia, M Reynolds, P Langridge, HS Balyan and PK Gupta. 2020. QTL analysis and fine mapping of a QTL for yield-related traits in wheat grown in dry and hot environments. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 133(1): 239-257.
- 70. Vinod K K, SG Krishnan, T Thribhuvan, AK Singh. 2019. Genetics of Drought Tolerance, Mapping QTLs, Candidate Genes and Their Utilization in Rice Improvement. In Rajpal VR ed. Genomics Assisted Breeding of Crops for Abiotic Stress Tolerance, Vol. II (pp. 145-186). Springer, Cham.
- Wang N, ZP Wang, XL Liang, JF Weng, XL Lv, DG Zhang, J Yang, HJ Yong, MS Li, FH Li and LY Jiang. 2016. Identification of loci contributing to maize drought tolerance in a genome-wide association study. *Euphytica* 210(2): 165-179.
- Wani SH and SK Sah. 2014. Biotechnology and abiotic stress tolerance in rice. *Journal of Rice Research* 2: 2.
- 73. Wani SH, M Choudhary, P Kumar, NA Akram, C Surekha, P Ahmad and SS Gosal. 2018. Markerassisted breeding for abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. In (SS Gosal and SH Wani eds., Biotechnologies of Crop Improvement, Vol 3 (pp. 1-23). Springer, Cham.
- Wani SH. 2019. Recent Approaches in Omics for Plant Resilience to Climate Change. Springer, Cham.
- 75. Wassmann R, SVK Jagadish, K Sumfleth, H Pathak, G Howell, A Ismail, R Serraj, E Redoña, RK Singh and S Heuer. 2009. Regional vulnerability of climate change impacts on Asian rice production and scope for adaptation. *Advances in Agronomy* **102**: 91-133.

- Wu GH. 1987. Analysis of genetic effects for quantitative characters at different developmental states in maize. *Genetics* 18: 69.
- 77. Xu YF, SS Li, LH Li, FF Ma, XY Fu, Z Shi, HX Xu, PT Ma and DG An. 2017. QTL mapping for yield and photosynthetic related traits under different water regimes in wheat. *Molecular Breeding* **37**(3): 34.
- 78. Yadav S, N Sandhu, VK Singh, M Catolos and A Kumar. 2019. Genotyping-by-sequencing based QTL mapping for rice grain yield under reproductive stage drought stress tolerance. *Scientific Reports* 9: 14326
- 79. Yuan Y, JE Cairns, R Babu, M Gowda, D Makumbi, C Magorokosho, A Zhang, Y Liu, N Wang, Z Hao and F San Vicente. 2019. Genome-wide association mapping and genomic prediction analyses reveal the genetic architecture of grain yield and flowering time under drought and heat stress conditions in maize. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9: 1919.
- 80. Zhang X, B Tang, F Yu, L Li, M Wang, Y Xue, Z Zhang, J Yan, B Yue, Y Zheng and F Qiu. 2013. Identification of major QTL for waterlogging tolerance using genome-wide association and linkage mapping of maize seedlings. *Plant Molecular Biology reporter* 31(3): 594-606.
- Zhao X, Y Peng, J Zhang, P Fang and B Wu. 2017. Mapping QTLs and meta-QTLs for two inflorescence architecture traits in multiple maize populations under different watering environments. *Molecular Breeding* 37(7): 91.
- Zhao X, Y Peng, J Zhang, P Fang and B Wu. 2018. Identification of QTLs and meta-QTLs for seven agronomic traits in multiple maize populations under well-watered and water-stressed conditions. *Crop Science* 58(2): 507-520.
- 83. Zhou L, Z Liu, Y Liu, D Kong, T Li, S Yu, H Mei, X Xu, H Liu, L Chen and Luo L. 2016. A novel gene OsAHL1 improves both drought avoidance and drought tolerance in rice. Scientific Reports 6: 30264.
- 84. Zhu JJ, XP Wang, CX Sun, XM Zhu, LI Meng, GD Zhang, YC Tian and ZL Wang. 2011. Mapping of QTL associated with drought tolerance in a semiautomobile rain shelter in maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Agricultural sciences in China* 10(7): 987-996.